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Research Translation Projects 2019 (Round 13) – Guidelines for Applicants
1. Introduction

The Research Translation Projects (RTP) program was introduced by the former State Health Research Advisory Council (SHRAC) in 2007. The program aligns with the priorities set out in the WA Health Strategic Intent 2015-2020, some of which include the provision of more effective and efficient hospital services, reducing demand on hospital services, establishing partnerships and creating clinical excellence through research and innovation. The program is also in accord with the Department of Health’s Sustainable Health Review, which is looking to prioritise the delivery of patient-centred, high quality and financially sustainable health care across the State.

The RTP program provides funding for short-term quality research projects that aim to improve healthcare practice and/or policy in WA Health1 while maintaining and/or improving patient outcomes, by investigating potential efficiencies that can be delivered.

The program aims to:

- support innovation and a move to patient-centred, high-value quality care in an environment of rising health care burden and limited resources
- support projects that may lead to a commercialisation opportunity
- provide an avenue for national or international funding, including larger partnership-type studies such as for a NHMRC Partnerships for Better Health - Partnership Projects grant.

The RTP program is administered by the Research Development Unit (RDU), Clinical Excellence Division, Department of Health. Queries regarding the program and the application process should be directed by email to the Research Development Unit or telephone: (08) 9222 4415.

2. Eligibility and Scope

Projects

Projects in the areas of clinical, health services and public health research are encouraged and may involve the undertaking of new research, proof-of-concept and/or pilot-studies. Projects that are solely quality assurance, clinical audit (including chart review), needs analysis or literature review are ineligible.

Research projects must:

- address relevant contemporary challenges faced by WA Health
- where possible, align with Department of Health priorities, the WA Health Strategic Intent, and other relevant WA reform initiatives
- demonstrate the potential pathway(s) to translation and implementation of research findings into improved health policy and practice
- investigate and evaluate efficiencies and cost savings that can be achieved for health care provided by WA Health, including:

1 For the purposes of this program “WA Health” refers to all agencies that are under the jurisdiction of the Minister for Health, and is defined as the “WA health system” in the Health Services Act 2016.
(a) an outline of potential cost-savings and/or improved efficiencies along with a comprehensive plan for an economic analysis
(b) identification of the source of economic expertise. This may be provided by a business manager, health economist, research team member with health economic expertise or equivalent. The grant application should be written in conjunction with this person
- include relevant multi-disciplinary and cross-institution collaborations
- be no more than 24 months duration. This timeframe must include the obtaining of governance approvals, data collection, data analysis and report writing.

**The Coordinating Principal Investigator must:**
- be an Australian citizen or permanent resident of Australia (pending residency applications must be finalised at the time of award)
- hold at a minimum, a bachelor degree or equivalent, in a health-related field or clinical science or a postgraduate research degree
- continue to be employed in the nominated administering institution for the period of the project or grant.

**Applications**
- Applications can be made by an individual researcher or team led by a Coordinating Principal Investigator (CPI), through an administering institution in WA.
- A CPI can submit more than one application for any of the Department’s research grant programs.
- In the event that similar proposals are recommended for funding the Department will liaise with the CPI(s) to discuss the situation.
- All required sign-offs and approvals must be provided at the time of submission. These will include the signing of relevant sections by each team member, Heads of Departments (or equivalent) that are contributing resources and/or access to patient cohorts/data relevant to the project, Business Manager, and the administering institution’s research administration officer (or their equivalent).
- Electronic signatures are acceptable. The onus is on the CPI to ensure approval to use an electronic signature has been obtained.

3. **Funding**

**Grant Monies**
Projects may be awarded up to $270,000 (GST exclusive). Funding may be awarded to an individual researcher or to a research team led by a CPI, at an appropriate administering institution in WA through an Acceptance of Offer process. Grants above $50,000 will be released in two instalments as follows:
- The first instalment will be subject to relevant approvals (see section 7) having been provided to the RDU by the research team.
• The second (and final) instalment will be subject to satisfactory progress being achieved against the project milestones, demonstrated in a Progress Report (see section 8).

A project may receive additional funds from other sources to meet budget requirements. Additionally, a project can be part of a larger research program or application to an external funding body provided the project has its own discrete objectives, and these can be completed within the 24 month period. In both cases, it must be clearly outlined how the RTP grant will contribute to the project’s outcomes. The RDU must be advised if either of these situations apply.

Funding is only made available for the scope of research described in the research application. The Department of Health is not obliged to underwrite any recurrent or capital costs beyond the research phase of the project or for the implementation of findings.

It is intended that funds will be spent in WA only. An exception may be considered in the case where specific research expertise or equipment is required and is not available in WA. When this situation occurs, adequate justification must be provided.

**Termination of Funds**

Funds shall revert to, or be recoverable by the Department in instances where:

- the project is terminated by the RDU as a result of insufficient progress being made at the time of the Progress Report
- funds are not fully spent at the conclusion of the project, unless an extension has been agreed to by the RDU
- funds are used for purposes other than those for which they were awarded.

RTP grants are offered subject to the availability of funds, which could be varied in the event of unforeseen circumstances.

**Funding for the Employment of Research Personnel**

The grant may be used to fund personnel working on the research project. Funds allocated towards staffing should be outlined in the proposed budget. Applicants should indicate whether the position to be funded is i) a new position; or ii) an existing position (filled or to be filled). The timeframe required for the creation and filling of positions should be factored into the ‘Milestones against project timeline’ section of the Application Form.

Funding will generally not be provided for the salary of the Coordinating Principal Investigator and Principal Investigators. However, in exceptional circumstances, the panel may consider requests where funding for these salaries is crucial to the success of the project.

**4. Consumer and Community Involvement**

Applicants should engage with health consumers during both the development of the research proposal and for the ongoing project.
There is increasing recognition of the benefits of involving consumers in the research process, such as ensuring research is relevant to the WA community and improving the uptake of findings. In line with the NHMRC’s definition, consumers may include patients and potential patients, carers and people who use health care services.

Consumer involvement should include a two-way interaction between community members and researchers that is specific to the project and that represents the consumer’s perspective. This may include:

- provision of input to strategic priority setting and direction, topic refinement, research design and proposed methodology
- participation in project advisory committees, recruiting participants and linking hard-to-reach populations
- production of newsletters that chart the research progress and writing of plain English summaries
- conducting reviews of participant information sheets and consent forms.

The Consumer and Community Health Research Network can be contacted for further assistance.

5. Selection and Assessment

Funding for RTPs will be awarded on merit in open competition, based on a process of evaluation and selection. Assessment shall be conducted by a panel comprising:

- senior Department of Health staff
- experienced WA researchers, academics and clinicians
- health economists
- health consumer representative(s).

The composition of the panel may change from year to year according to need and circumstance, and may not include all of the above groups.

The RDU reserves the right to request further information from applicants at any stage of the review process. For projects recommended for funding, the Department reserves the right to negotiate lower amounts than requested and modifications to research plans. Applications must meet the defined eligibility criteria and will be assessed based on the criteria set out in the table below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Assessment Criteria</strong></th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significance</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration of contemporary relevance and significance to WA Health, including a focus on consumer need</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovation</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty of project and demonstration of the need for research in the chosen area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Analysis Plan</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for cost-savings and/or improved efficiencies for WA Health, including a detailed plan for economic analysis which identifies relevant units to be collected and analysed in order to assess predicted benefits in dollar amounts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research Plan</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of research plan, including suitable methodology, objective measurement of outcomes and achievable timeline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partnerships</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate level of engagement and collaboration, especially with healthcare providers, policy makers, consumers and other relevant stakeholders during both the development of the research proposal and conduct of the research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potential for Research Translation</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for translation and implementation of research findings into policy and practice, commercialisation and/or to lead to future funding proposals to external bodies, such as the NHMRC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualifications and availability of the Investigator(s) to conduct the project, based on individual expertise and experience, and the collective gain of the team to the project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate budget request and justification of expenditure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **Key Dates**

The panel review and notification dates are a guide and may be subject to change.

| 1. Due date for applications | 24 June 2019 |
| 2. Selection panel review concludes | October 2019 |
| 3. Applicants notified of outcome | November 2019 |

All applicants will be notified in writing of the results of their application. Feedback on unsuccessful applications will be provided on request.

7. **Approvals and Agreements**

**Research Approvals**

All necessary research approvals must be obtained and provided to the RDU before grant funds can be released. Relevant approvals consist of both ethics approval and site authorisation as indicated below. For particular projects, other approvals may sometimes be required before research can commence.

1) Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) approval for each site involved in the research, this may be reciprocal approval granted under the National Mutual Acceptance (NMA) Scheme or the WA Health Single Ethical Review Scheme for secondary sites. For WA Health sites, ethical approval must be granted from either a WA Health Service Provider HREC or a HREC certified by the NHMRC as a Lead NMA HREC.

Depending on the type of project, additional HREC approvals may be required from:
- Department of Health WA HREC (for Department of Health data collections and Data linkage)
- Coronial Ethics Committee (WA)
- WA Aboriginal Health Ethics Committee.

2) Site authorisation from the administering institution and each site participating in the research. For WA Health sites:

- projects proposing to conduct an intervention at a health site and/or intending to use the physical resources of a health site must at a minimum submit a Site Specific Assessment (SSA) Form and accompanying Budget Form to the research governance office of the administering institution and each participating site Research Governance Office; or
- projects that require access to health site participants, their tissue and/or their data must at a minimum submit an Access Request Form to the research governance office of the administering institution and to each participating site.

HREC approvals granted under the WA Health Single Ethical Review Scheme are obtained through the Research Governance Service. HREC approvals granted
through the NMA Scheme may be obtained through submission to one of the national Ethics/Governance IT Systems. Those ascertaining approval under the NMA Scheme from an institution external to WA Health should contact the relevant HREC Office to confirm the submission requirements.

Research Governance approvals for WA Health sites are obtained through the Research Governance Service.

**Data Linkage**

A research project that includes a request for linked data from the Data Linkage Branch (DLB) requires the research team to demonstrate feasibility to obtain, and adequate expertise to analyse, the requested data.

Following confirmation of funding support, a full Application for Data must be submitted to the DLB for feasibility assessment. Following feasibility assessment, in-principle data governance support will be provided via a Feasibility Letter from DLB.

Data Linkage Feasibility must be obtained before a submission can be made to the Department of Health WA HREC or Research Governance Office.

**Intellectual Property**

Intellectual Property (IP) that arises out of the project will generally vest in the CPI's administering institution with an appropriate IP access agreement for other research team members' organisations. It is the administering institution’s responsibility to ensure that appropriate agreements are in place with the research team members’ organisations.

When a research team involves more than one institution and includes a member from the WA public health system, then the IP agreement must be authorised by the relevant WA Health entity and the administering institution.

The IP agreement must be finalised within two months of the first instalment of the grant being received by the administering institution.

Information regarding IP templates is available from the RDU upon request.

**8. Reporting**

The CPI will be responsible for coordinating the project and ensuring its timely execution. The CPI will also be responsible for meeting reporting requirements over the duration of the project and at its conclusion. Projects must be concluded within a 24 month period, which includes the obtaining of ethics and governance approvals, employment of research staff, data collection and analysis and submission of the final report.

A member of the RDU will be assigned to each project, and will liaise with the CPI to assist with grant payments, reporting and other operational issues as they arise.
RDU liaison officers will provide researchers with the relevant reporting templates as required.

**Progress Report**

A report outlining the progress against the milestones listed in the research plan is required mid-project and prior to the second instalment of funds being released. The Department reserves the right to terminate and/or withdraw funding for a project where insufficient progress has been made.

**Final Report and Community Stakeholder Brief**

A final report detailing the project outcomes is to be submitted to the RDU at the conclusion of the project. Failure to submit the final reports at this time may render all investigators on the grant application ineligible for further research funding from the RDU until the reports are received.

In addition, in order to provide feedback to stakeholders and encourage research translation, a one-page *Community Stakeholder Brief* which includes an outline of the research project, its outcomes, and next steps is to be provided to all participating consumer and community groups (including Aboriginal communities) and a copy submitted to the RDU.

**Financial Acquittal Statement**

An acquittal statement outlining the expenditure of funds must be submitted to the RDU upon conclusion of the project. An authorised financial officer at the administering institution must certify the acquittal statement.

Reporting due dates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report Type</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progress Report</td>
<td>Monday, 30 November 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Report &amp; Community Stakeholder Brief</td>
<td>Tuesday, 30 November 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Acquittal</td>
<td>Tuesday, 30 November 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **Acknowledgement**

The Department will publicly announce the recipients of this grant round and requests that all other parties withhold announcement/media coverage until after this time. The RDU will advise once this has occurred. Full acknowledgement of the Department of Health’s funding for the research must be made as opportunities arise in publications, conference presentations, public discussion, press statements etc.

The suggested citation is: “*this project was/is funded by the WA Department of Health through the Research Translation Projects program*”.
10. Complaints

Applicants who feel that their interests have been adversely affected by a decision made by the Department of Health may lodge a complaint. Complaints can only be considered when they refer to the administrative process and not to the actual outcome of a particular decision. Complaints must be submitted in writing to:

Executive Director, Clinical Leadership and Reform
Clinical Excellence Division, Department of Health
PO Box 8172
Perth Business Centre WA 6849

11. Confidentiality

Lay summaries and researcher statements provided on project applications or reports may be used for publicity purposes as stated on the relevant templates.

All other information provided in project applications and reports will be maintained confidentially by the Department and the selection panel. If requests are received by the Department to make public any aspect of funded projects, the authorisation of the CPI will be sought.

Applicants should be aware that the Department is subject to the Western Australian Freedom of Information Act 1992. This provides a general right of access to records held by State Government agencies. In addition, information pertaining to the receipt of State Government financial assistance is tabled in the Parliament of Western Australia.

12. Publications

Researchers are requested to forward copies of publications, conference presentations, etc. resulting from the funded project to the RDU.

13. Evaluation

On occasion, the Department of Health will undertake an evaluation of the RTP program and all funded projects will need to participate in this.

The evaluation will focus on matters such as the extent of implementation of the research findings into clinical practice and health policy, contribution to capacity building, knowledge transfer, publications, further research funding/grants received as a result of RTP funded work, cost-savings and/or efficiencies achieved etc.