

## Interim Report: Feedback Survey

The Sustainable Health Review Interim Report feedback survey consisted of 14 questions. The responses to the open feedback questions are detailed below. Responses to questions 9-12 have been published in a summarised report on the SHR website.

Your Personal Details	
1. Title	Mr <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Miss <input type="checkbox"/> Mrs <input type="checkbox"/> Ms <input type="checkbox"/> Dr <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>
2. First Name(s)	Felix
3. Surname	Neuweiler
4. Contact Details	
5. Organisation	
6. Location	<input type="checkbox"/> Metropolitan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regional WA <input type="checkbox"/> Outside WA
7. Are you providing a response on behalf of your group/organisation or as an individual? (Required)	<input type="checkbox"/> Group/organisation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: _____
<b>Q8. Do you consent to your feedback being published, in summaries or in the Final Report? (Required)</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I consent to my feedback being published <input type="checkbox"/> I consent to my feedback being published anonymously <input type="checkbox"/> I do not consent to my feedback being published	

The next two questions will allow you to provide more detailed feedback on how to maximise improvements in each of the Directions or suggest other areas or actions for the Sustainable Health Review Panel to consider to develop a more sustainable health system.

**13. In regards to the 12 Directions, please provide detailed comments on how to maximise improvements in each of the Directions. Where possible, please indicate which Direction your comments relate to.**

Direction 1: DoH leadership whilst engaging with other agencies is supported. Non-clinical local professionals, such as Environmental Health Officers could be utilised to achieve better health outcomes in regional areas.

Direction 2: Privatisation of the aged care and disability sector has lead to disappointing service delivery and outcomes.

Directions 3 & 4: Implementation of the Emergency Telehealth Service Model in regional areas is urgently needed.

Direction 5: Partnering with the St John Ambulance Service has been cost-effective and efficient.

Directions 6 & 7: Supported.

Direction 10: This should include a non-clinical environmental/public health workforce, particularly in regional areas.

Direction 12: Generally supported. Encourage and utilise volunteers, such as ambulance volunteers, in regional areas to save costs and get the community directly involved.

**14. Is there anything else that the Panel has missed so far that is important in developing a more sustainable health system for Western Australia?**

The panel has not considered external influences on public health, such as substance abuse, climate change (heat stress), food and water quality, housing, etc., particularly in regional and remote areas.